Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/488,738	MITCHELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Baoquoc N To	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in to or other appropriate commur GHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>03/28/2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-18</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.		
4.		
Attachment(s)  1. ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Sun Paper No./M 8), 7. ☑ Examiner's A	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) nmary (PTO-413), lail Date mendment/Comment tatement of Reasons for Allowance  JEAN M. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

After carefully review applicant remarks in the Appeal Brief filed on March 28,
 The Office agrees with applicant remarks and enters the amendment filed on 03/28/2005.

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings filed on 01/20/2000 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities. Please submits the Formal Drawing before the issue fee. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-18 are allowed over the prior arts made of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 1, none of the know prior art alone or incombination neither teach or suggest "interactively generating, for each of said plurality of groups of users, a respective; project tracking interface definition, each project tracking interface definition being a data object defining a respective set of task selections, each task selection of a set of task selections corresponding to a respective task action of said pre-defined set of task actions performed by said at least one computer system with respect to a

Art Unit: 2162 -

respective one or more said data objects stored on said at least one computer system, wherein a first set of task selections of a first project tracking interface for a first group of users is different from a second set of task selections of a second project tracking interface for a second group of users" in conjunction with "associating a first user with said first group of users; presenting said first project tracking interface having said first set of task selections to said first user; performing task actions corresponding to task selections of said first set of task selections responsive to said first user interactively selecting the corresponding task selections of said first set of task selections; associating a second user with said second group of users; presenting said second user; and performing task actions corresponding to task selections of said second set of task selections responsive to said second set of task selections of said second set of task selections responsive to said second user interactively selecting the corresponding task selections of said second set of task selections."

Claims 2-7 are depended on claim 1; therefore, they are allowed under the same reason.

Claim 8 is computer program product to perform the method of claim 1; therefore, it is allowed under the same reason as claim 1.

Claims 9-14 are depended on claim 8; therefore, they are allowed under the same reason as to claim 8.

Claim 15 is a computer program product having same scope of claim 1; therefore, it is allowed under the same reason as to claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 2162

Claims 16-18 are depended on claim 15; therefore, they are allowed under the same reason as to claim 1.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## <u>Patent</u>

Formenti (US. Patent No. 6,487,469 B1) Patent date: 11/26/2002.

System And Method For Integrating Schedule and Design Environments

Tsukahara (US. Patent No. 6,169,991 B1) Patent date: 01/02/2001.

Client Server System and Control Method Thereof.

# **NPL**

Takkinen et al. Task-oriented restructuring of an application domain: a m architecture for doing things in Internet e-mail, System Sciences. 01/5-8/1999. pages 6.

Miller Leslie. A heterogenous multiprocessor design and the distributed scheduling of its task group workload. International Conference on Computer Architecture. 1982, 283-290.

#### **Contact Information**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Baoquoc N. To

May 12th, 2005

JEAN TO PRIELUS DEMARY EXAMINER